

Doc. 3 at 2-3. Gossman avers that he is scheduled to release to a halfway house in April 2019.²
Doc. 3 at 2.

A habeas corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 is subject to summary dismissal if it appears from the face of the petition that the petitioner is not entitled to relief. See *Wottlin v. Fleming*, 136 F.3d 1032, 1034 (5th Cir. 1998) (affirming summary dismissal of Section 2241 petition); see also Rules 4 and 1(b) of the RULES GOVERNING SECTION 2254 CASES.

Section 102(b)(1) of the First Step Act (“Act”), Public Law No. 115-391, amended 18 U.S.C. § 3624(b) to permit federal inmates to earn 54 days of good conduct time for each year of the sentence imposed, effectively abrogating *Barber v. Thomas*, 560 U.S. 474 (2010). However, this provision has not yet taken effect, and will not do so until the Attorney General completes the “risk and needs assessment system” within 210 days after the Act’s enactment, as provided by Section 101(a) of the Act. See *Schmutzler v. Quintana*, No. 5:19-046-DCR, 2019 WL 727794, at *2 (E.D. Ky Feb. 20, 2019) (denying habeas relief because Section 102(b)(1) will not take effect before July 2019).


² Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 201, the Court takes judicial notice of Gossman’s presently computed release date of October 5, 2019. See BOP’s inmate locator, <https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc/> (last accessed on Feb. 27, 2019).

Therefore, even if Gossman's failure to exhaust administrative remedies is excused, his time-credit request is premature, and his habeas corpus petition should be summarily

DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

SO RECOMMENDED.

March 12, 2019.



RENEE HARRIS TOLIVER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT**

A copy of this report and recommendation will be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *FED. R. Civ. P. 72(b)*. An objection must identify the finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and indicate the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. *See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996), *modified by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections to 14 days).